# 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Scientific and Technical Review Board on Biomedical and Behavioral Research Facilities

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 12:53:50 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Scientific and Technical Review Board on Biomedical and 1908

Behavioral Research Facilities

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 06/01/2018 06/01/2020

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

**11. Establishment Authority** Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

13. Effective 14. Commitee 14c.

Date Type Presidential?

402(b)(16), 404I, and 492, 282(b)(16),

283K and 289a 06/10/1993 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 1 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 1

O. ...... EV No. . (EV

**Meetings and Dates** 

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 NIH Peer Review
 06/28/2018
 - 06/28/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$29,321.00	\$29,878.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$30,321.00 \$3	0,878.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.20	0.20

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research and research facilities authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of biomedical and behavioral research. The committee reviewed 2 grants requesting \$7,800,000.00

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The fifteen authorized members of this Board are authorities (a) knowledgeable and experienced in the planning, construction, financing, and administration of entities that conduct biomedical or behavioral research sciences; (b) are knowledgeable in making determinations of the need of entities for biomedical or behavioral research facilities, including such facilities for the dentistry, nursing, pharmacy, and allied health professions; (c) are knowledgeable in evaluating the relative priorities for applications for grants under section 404I(a) of the Public Health Service Act in view of the overall research needs of the United States, and (d) are experienced with emerging centers of excellence, as described in section 404I(c)(2) of the PHS Act.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Scientific and Technical Review Board on Biomedical and Behavioral Research Facilities held one meeting during this reporting period.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This Board is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research and research facilities authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

### 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meeting was closed to the public for the review of grant applications and/or contract proposals. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

### 21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. URL: This committee does not have a website.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

Ross Shonat Chief

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
BAUMGARTH, NICOLE	12/05/2016	06/30/2018	3 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ESCANO, NANCY	01/22/2016	06/30/2019	MANAGING PRINCIPAL	Peer Review Consultant Member
FLETCHER, CRAIG	12/05/2016	06/30/2020	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GREEN, SHERRIL	12/03/2016	06/30/2018	B PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HACKMAN, CRAIG	12/09/2016	06/30/2020	Principal and Senior Laboratory Planner	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAWROT, EDWARD	07/01/2016	06/30/2018	B PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
JACKSON, TANISE	12/02/2016	06/30/2020	DIRECTOR OF ANIMAL WELFARE AND RESEARCH INTEGRITY	Peer Review Consultant Member
LANGAN, GEORGE	01/07/2016	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MARTIN, MARY	01/05/2016	06/30/2019	) CHIEF	Peer Review Consultant Member
PIEDRAHITA, JORGE	01/09/2016	06/30/2019	DIRECTOR AND PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROMIG, JONATHAN	09/15/2014	06/30/2018	3 PRINCIPAL	Peer Review Consultant Member
SUCKOW, MARK	01/04/2016	06/30/2019	DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILLIAMS, WARREN	12/05/2016	06/30/2020	Principal, Senior Project Manager	Peer Review Consultant Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 13** 

### **Narrative Description**

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The

NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that "The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts..."

### What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if Applies Improvements to health or safety Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making Improved service delivery Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other **Outcome Comments** NA What are the cost savings associated with this committee? Checked if Applies None Unable to Determine ✓ Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Savings Other

### **Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee

1,116

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

**Grant Review** 

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee	with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice of	offered?

Yes 1	No	Not	Applicable -
		. 101	, ipplicable

### **Agency Feedback Comments**

Minutes, written and oral reports

# What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

CI	hecked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	✓
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓
Other	
Action Comments	
NA	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?	
Yes	
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants reviewed for approval	2
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants recommended for approval	2
What is the estimated <b>Dollar Value</b> of grants recommended for approval	\$7,800,000
Grant Review Comments	
NA	
How is access provided to the information for the Committee's docu	mentation?
CI	hecked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	/

### **Access Comments**

Contact IC Committee Management Officer, Ms. Sharon Sealey, at 301-435-1142.